Simone, Suzanne

From:

Mike Antonetti <msant0701@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Friday, July 23, 2021 10:02 AM

To:

Simone, Suzanne; Barbie Gregorich

Subject:

Antonetti - 38 Carter Lane request for clarification & changes to corrective order

Hi Suzanne,

I received the Corrective order and read it carefully and noted I must perform everything in order and to the details provided in the letter or risk future actions. Therefore, I believe I must ask for clarification and changes to some of the corrective orders so I can successfully complete the restoration of the area and to be treated fairly and by the law.

I would like to request a clarification of corrective order #3, #4 and request to change #5.

<u>Corrective item #3</u> states: Install non-encroachment marking TBD by the Commission. I am requesting this to be changed to TBD by the homeowner with no approval required unless there is a law that requires otherwise.

I request that restoration item #4 be changed to read I am to maintain the area that was disturbed only. The restoration area includes wetlands and buffer areas and how I read the restoration order is that I am to maintain the restoration area and the buffer area (there is nothing stating disturbed buffer area). The restoration plan was written to work within the restoration area only and the report notes evidence of preexisting invasive species with significant growth on the property outside the disturbed or restoration area.

Suggested wording for clarification #4:

The property owner is responsible to maintain, monitor and ensure the survival of the restoration plantings and removal of the invasive species emerging in the restoration and buffer area in the restoration area only on the property, this includes wetlands and buffer areas that were disturbed, for three years. Dead/dying plantings per the restoration plan located within the restoration area shall be replaced with the same species. Refer to figure 5, page 9 from the Soil Science & Environmental Services report that was approved for the restoration for the area to be maintained.

For corrective orders #2 & 5.

I contacted Soil science and requested the cost to perform each inspection and report. It is \$500 each visit and report and I feel this is excessive in cost, don't have a choice and have limited or no alternate source for competitive pricing. From my point of view this could go on for indefinitely with no cost control.

I won't contest hiring a Biologist per #2 to ensure I restore the area per the plan to meet all requirements.

For corrective item #5 I'd like to request that the annual Biologist inspection and report be waived and replaced with an annual town inspection for 3 years.

I am preparing to order the plantings per the list below in blue with 30% additional plantings. The order is ~ \$500 and an inspection at the same cost annually seems excessive.

Please contact me regarding the requested changes/modifications and let me know what I need to do and what meeting I will need to attend.

Thank you, Mike Antonetti Sr. Manager, Test Engineering

MANTONETTI@DMTLLC.ORG 203-427-3028 CELL 203-797-5401 OFFICE

DANBURY MISSION TECHNOLOGIES

				all 3-4 ft version			
		Minimum	Purchase		Purchase		
	size	number	Number	cost each	SI	sub total	
Cornus amomum	36"	3	4	\$ 11.25	\$	45.00	
silky dogwood							
Lindera benzoin	36"	2	3	\$ 11.00	\$	33.00	
spicebush							
Quercus bicolor - tree	72"	1	2	\$ 13.50	\$	13.50	
swamp white oak							
Viburnum dentatum	36"	2	4	\$ 10.50	\$	42.00	
arrowwood							
Acer rubrum - tree	72"	3	4	\$ 12.50	\$	50.00	
red maple							
Amelanchier canadensis/arborea	36"	5	6	\$ 12.25	\$	73.50	
shadbush							
Aronia melanocarpa	36"	8	9	\$ 10.75	\$	96.75	
black chokeberry							
Cornus racemosa	36"	4	5	\$ 11.50	\$	57.50	
gray dogwood							
		28	37				
New england Conservation / wildlife		14.0		400.000		20.11	
mix		2 lbs		\$39.50 lb	\$	79.00	
	-1111						
				total	\$	490.25	